Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE PAGE 01 EC BRU 04101 071913Z 60 **ACTION EUR-12** INFO OCT-01 AF-06 ARA-06 ISO-00 EB-07 FEA-01 AGR-05 CEA-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 FRB-03 H-02 INR-07 INT-05 L-02 LAB-04 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 AID-05 CIEP-01 SS-15 STR-04 TAR-01 TRSE-00 USIA-06 PRS-01 SP-02 OMB-01 /104 W R 071752Z MAY 75 FM USMISSION EC BRUSSELS TO SECSTATE WASHDC 8832 INFO ALL EC CAPITALS 641 AMEMBASSY ABIDJAN AMEMBASSY ACCRA AMEMBASSY ADDIS ABABA AMEMBASSY BANGUI AMEMBASSY BANJUL AMEMBASSY BLANTYRE AMEMBASSY BRIDGETOWN AMEMBASSY BUJUMBURA AMEMBASSY CONAKRY AMEMBASSY COTONOU AMEMBASSY DAKAR DAR ES SALAAM 170 AMEMBASSY FREETOWN AMEMBASSY GABORONE AMEMBASSY GEORGETOWN AMEMBASSY KIGALI AMEMBASSY KINGSTON AMEMBASSY KINSHASA AMEMBASSH KHARTOUM AMEMBASSY LAGOS AMEMBASSY LIBREVILLE AMEMBASSY LOME AMEMBASSY LUSAKA AMEMBASSY MALABO LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 EC BRU 04101 071913Z

AMEMBASSY MASERU

AMEMBASSY MBABANE

AMEMBASSY MOGADISCIO

AMEMBASSY MONTROVIA

AMEMBASSY NASSAU

AMEMBASSY NAIROBI

AMEMBASSY NDJAMENA

AMEMBASSY NIAMEY

AMEMBASSY NOUAKCHOTT

AMEMBASSY OUAGADOUGOU

AMEMBASSY PORT OF SPAIN

AMEMBASSY PORT LOUIS

AMEMBASSY SUVA

AMEMBASSY TANANARIVE

AMEMBASSY YAOUNDE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE EC BRUSSELS 4101

E.O. 11652: N/A TAGS: ETRD

SUBJECT: THE LOME CONVENTION AND REVERSE PREFERENCES

REF: A) TANANARIVE 781 (NOTAL) B) STATE 103453 (NOTAL)

- 1. REFTEL B INSTRUCTED THE MISSION TO DISCUSS WITH THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION THE REPORT IN REFTEL A THAT EC COMMISSION OFFICIALS HAD USED THE LOME NEGOTIATIONS TO TRY TO PERSUADE ACP COUNTRIES TO MAINTAIN REVERSE PREFERENCES FOR SOME TIME AFTER THE LOME CONVENTION RATIFICATION ELIMINATES SUCH REQUIREMENTS.
- 2. ON MAY 7 WE BROUGHT THE POINTS IN REFTEL B TO THE ATTENTION OF FOLEY, COMMISSION DEPUTY DIRECTOR GENRAL FOR DEVELOPMENT AND COOPERATION, WHO WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE LOME NEGOTIATIONS. FOLEY GAVE US A FLAT ASSURANCE THAT IT WAS NOT THE COMMISSION'S POLICY TO ENCOURAGE THE RETENTION OF REVERSE PREFERENCES. THE LOME CONVENTION PROVIDED THAT THE ACP COUNTRIES COULD ELIMINATE THE REVERSE PREFERENCES IF THEY WISHED TO. IT DID NOT REQUIRE THAT THEY DO SO. LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 EC BRU 04101 071913Z

HOWEVER, THE COMMISSION, DURING THE COURSE OF THE NEGOTIATIONS, RECOGNIZED THE EXTREME POLITICAL SENSITIVITY OF THIS ISSUE, ESPECIALLY SINCE MOST OF THE ACPS THEMSELVES WERE SO OPPOSED TO THE CONTINUATION OF REVERSE PREFERENCES. HE ALSO ASSURED US THE ALLOCATION OF COMMUNITY AID TO THE ACPS WOULD NOT BE USED AS LEVERAGE TO PREVENT IMMEDIATE REMOVAL OF REVERSE PREFERENCES.

3. FLLEY SAID THAT THE XPLANATION FOR THE REPORT IN REFETEL A MAY LIE IN THE INTERNAL SITUATION IN MADAGASCAR. AFTER THE COUP, THE GOVERNMENT HAD SWUNG INTO AN ANTI-ESTERN POSITION. SUBSEQUENTLY, IT HAS BEEN MAKING AN EFFORT TO GO IN THE OTHER DIRECTION AND CULTIVATE ITS RELATIONS WITH FRANCE. FOLEY DID NOT EXCLUDE THAT THE FRENCH MIGHT BE USING THE PROMISE OF FRENCH AID AS A LEVER TO TRY TO ENCOURAGE A SLOWING DOWN IN THE ABOLITION OF REVERSE PREFERENCES NOR THAT ON THE MARGINS OF THE LOME NEGOTIATIONS SOME FRENCHMEN IN THE COMMISSION MIGHT HAVE PRIVATELY SUGGESTED A PHASE-OUT. IT WAS CLEAR THAT FOLEY WAS NOT SUGGESTING HE HAD ANY POSIIVE KNOWLEDGE OF SUCH FRENCH ACTIONS, HOWEVER.) HOWEVER, HE SAID THAT HE WANTED US TO UNDERSTAND CLEARLY THAT IT IS NOT THE COMMISSIONS POLICY TO ENCOURAGE THE RETENTION OF REVERSE PREFERENCES.MYERSON

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: TRADE LAW, TREATY RATIFICATION, GENERALIZED PREFERENCES (TARIFFS)

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 07 MAY 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975FCBRI 104101

Document Number: 1975ECBRU04101
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A Film Number: D750160-1061 From: EC BRUSSELS Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750570/aaaacmcl.tel Line Count: 131 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION EUR

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: 75 TANANARIVE 781 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: GolinoFR

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 09 APR 2003

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <09 APR 2003 by Izenbel0>; APPROVED <16 APR 2003 by GolinoFR>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: THE LOME CONVENTION AND REVERSE PREFERENCES TAGS: ETRD
To: STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006